## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/738.547 GRIGGS ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3721 John Sipos All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) D.J. May. (1) John Sipos. (2) J. Richardson. Date of Interview: • Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: All. Identification of prior art discussed: Applied. Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. gi was not reached. hi N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claiming of the system and the method of packaging with a plurality of interchangeable chutes of a variety of shapes/sizes that have a common center line relative the rest of the machine An amendemnt will be submitted and considered in light of the prior art... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required